			UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/564,810	01/16/2006	Junichiro Sugita	115990-004	3340
24573 7590 11/08/2007 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP P.O. Box 1135			EXAMINER	
			HESS, BRUCE H	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/564,810	SUGITA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bruce H. Hess	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	(
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-16-06 (307)				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) $ \Delta $ Claim(s) $1 - 4$ is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1~4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) 🗌 objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bur		Treceived in ans National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Attachment(s)					
 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Time Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

٠

a

.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,810 Art Unit: 1794

I. Claims 1-4 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent 7,074,741 in view of any of the patents to Hirota et al. (JP 03-162991), Mori et al. (JP 05-330257) Josa et al. (JP 09-234963) or Simpson et al. (JP 10-157310).

The claims of the aforementioned U.S. Patent are directed to a sublimation transfer recording medium which reduces sticking to soft vinyl chloride cards by employing a block copolymer silicone resin in the dye transfer layer. The secondary references are directed to sublimation transfer recording media which employ a phenoxy resin in the dye transfer layer in order to reduce sticking. Since it is obvious to combine materials which perform the same function, use of the prior art block copolymer silicone and phenoxy resins anti-sticking agents together would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected results.

2 Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of the patents to Sugita et al. (U.S. Patent 7,074,741) or Yokoyama et al. (JP 10-297123) in view of any of the patents to Hirota et al., Mori et al., Josa et al. or Simpson et al.

The primary references are directed to sublimation transfer recording media which reduce sticking to receiving elements by employing a block copolymer silicone resins in the dye transfer layers. The secondary references are directed to sublimation transfer recording media which employ a phenoxy resin in the dye transfer layers in order to reduce sticking. Since it is obvious to combine materials which perform the same function, use of the prior art block copolymer silicone and phenoxy resins antiApplication/Control Number: 10/564,810 Art Unit: 1794 Page 3

sticking agents together would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected results.

BRIDGE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300